	Case 2:20-cv-01295-KJM-DB Documen	t 33 Filed 02/22/21 Page 1 of 1
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ERNEST LEE COX, Jr.,	No. 2:20-cv-1295 KJM DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	VASUKI DARAM, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se. Plaintiff seeks reconsideration of the	
18	court's November 6, 2020 order denying plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order.	
19	ECF No. 23. Pursuant to Local Rule 230(j), a party seeking reconsideration of an order must	
20	show "new or different facts or circumstances [] claimed to exist which did not exist or were not	
21	shown upon such prior motion, or what other grounds exist for the motion[.]" Because plaintiff	
22	fails to establish new or materially different facts or grounds for granting a temporary restraining	
23	order, the court denies plaintiff's motion for reconsideration. This order resolves ECF No. 23.	
24	DATED: February 22, 2021.	
25	10 A 10 1	
26	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
27	<u> </u>	
28		
		1